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# Overall process

Working on the “Traffic Lights Simulation” software application has been a long and difficult process. The work on the project has been accomplished in two broad time periods - being the first and second block of the second study semester.

During the first period meetings with the client and teacher were present. Arrangements were made and all the requirements were set. Most of the time was spent on creating and delivering documentation about the project. There were four main documents:

1. Project Plan - describing the project as a whole, describing the developers, being students, and the product being the assignment.
2. User Requirements Document - describing the requirements set by the client.
3. Design Document - describing the structure of the software application to be built. Class diagram and Sequence diagrams were illustrated.
4. Test Plan - describing the testing way of the application to be created. All the situations were covered, with all the needed exceptions and “monkey” behaviors.

### Week 1

During week number 1, a meeting with the client and the teacher was held. Assigned roles at the team were discussed and first questions regarding the Project Plan were asked.

Roles were assigned as follows:

* Bilger Yahov - being the Project Leader
* Oleksandr Suprunenko - being the Chairmain
* Ilia Nikushev - being the Quality Manager
* Georgi Chishirkov - being the Agenda keeper
* Lyubomir Dimov - being the Minutes keeper/Secretary
* Mengchuan Liu - being a girl ☺

All the minutes and agendas can be found on Apendix ????

Team members started working on the Project Plan. The work has been divided and everybody worked on a part from the document. As a result the document was created.

### Week 2

During week number 2, Project Plan draft remarks were discussed. The group also asked the teacher should they use the provided DLL by Fontys.

The group got feedback for the first version of the Project Plan.

The team worked on finishing the Project Plan. Apart from that creating the User Requirements Specification document had started.

### Week 3

During week number 3, final remarks about the Project Plan were gotten. Feedback for the initial version of the User Requirements Specification document was gotten.
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